IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 568 OF 2006

Lailasha Landya Kale. ... Applicant.

Versus.

State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Shri Rahul S.Kate for the Applicant.

Ms.Rajashree Gadhavi, A.P.P. for the Respondent.

CORAM : ABHAY S.OKA, J.

DATED : 17th MARCH, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Shri Kate for the Applicant. As directed by the administrative order passed by the Hon'ble the Chief Justice, this bail application has been placed before me as earlier bail application being Criminal Application No.5889 of 2005 filed by the present Applicant has been rejected by me.

2. Shri Kate appearing for the Applicant invited my attention to the subsequent orders passed by this Court in Criminal Application No.6169 of 2005, Criminal Application No.7634 of 2005 and Criminal Application No.8167 of 2005. Shri Kate pointed out that in these Applications the Accused involved in the same case have been ordered to be enlarged on bail. He, therefore, submitted that these orders have brought in a substantial change in the circumstance warranting interference with the view taken by me in the earlier

bail application. In so far as Criminal Application No.6169 of 2005 is concerned, this Court considered the order passed in the first Bail Application filed by the Applicant and considering the peculiar facts of the case of the said Applicant, bail application has been allowed. This order will not help the Applicant in any manner. In so far as the other two orders are concerned, the same are passed in favour of the Applicants who are women.

3. In my view no change is brought about by the aforesaid orders. There is no merit in the Application and the same is rejected.

Judge.